

**EXHIBIT B**

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CHIEF HENRY V. TOBIN III, :  
 :  
 Plaintiff, :  
 : C.A. No. 04-1211 \*\*\*  
 v. :  
 :  
 THOMAS P. GORDON, individually: :  
 and in his official capacity; :  
 SHERRY FREEBERY, individually :  
 and in her official capacity; :  
 COLONEL JOHN L. CUNNINGHAM, :  
 RETIRED, individually; :  
 COLONEL DAVID F. MCALLISTER, :  
 individually and in his :  
 official capacity; and :  
 NEW CASTLE COUNTY, :  
 a municipal corporation, :  
 :  
 Defendants. :

JOINT PROPOSED  
SCHEDULING ORDER

This \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_\_, the Court  
having conducted an initial Rule 16 scheduling and planning  
conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.2(a) on December 7, 2007,  
and the parties having determined after discussion that the  
matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement,  
voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery  
Default Standard. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, the  
parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal

Rule of Civil procedure 26(a)(1) within five days of the date of this Order. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the Court's Default Standard for Discovery of Electronic Documents, which is posted at <http://www.ded.uscourts.gov> (see Orders, etc., Policies & Procedures, Ad Hoc Committee for Electronic Discovery), and is incorporated herein by reference.

2. Joinder of other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings.

All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before March 7, 2008.

3. Discovery.

a. Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery.

Each side is limited to a total of 70 hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination.

b. Location of Depositions. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purposes of this provision.

c. Discovery Cut Off. All discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before

June 13, 2008. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed.

d. Disclosure of Expert Testimony. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before April 14, 2008. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before May 14, 2008. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition.

To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

e. Discovery Disputes. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery dispute, the party seeking the relief shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6173 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forty-eight (48) hours prior to the conference, the party seeking relief shall file with the

Court a letter, not to exceed three (3) pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. (The Court does not seek extensive argument or authorities at this point; it seeks simply a statement of the issue to be addressed and a summary of the basis for the party's position on the issue.) Not less than twenty-four (24) hours prior to the conference, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three (3) pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. Should any document(s) be filed under seal, a copy of the sealed document(s) must be provided to the Magistrate Judge within one (1) hour of e-filing the document(s). Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Disputes over protective orders or motions for extensions of time for briefing case dispositive motions which are related to discovery matters are to be addressed in the first instance in accordance with this paragraph.

4. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within ten (10) days from the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a

proposed form of order, the counsel must first follow the provisions of Paragraph 3e above.

Any proposed order should include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

5. Papers Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel should deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers.

6. ADR Process. To be discussed during the Rule 16 scheduling conference.

7. Interim Status Report. On May 22, 2008, counsel shall submit a joint interim report to the Court on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date.

8. Status Conference. On May 29, 2008, the Court will hold a Rule 16(a), (b) and (c) conference by telephone with counsel beginning at 9:00 a.m. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the telephone call. At the time of this conference, counsel shall also be prepared to discuss the progress, if any, of settlement discussions and shall be prepared to discuss the possibility of

setting up a settlement conference with the Court, counsel and their clients. If all parties agree that there is nothing to report, nor anything to add to the interim status report or to this order, they shall notify the Court in writing before the conference is scheduled to occur, and the conference will be taken off of the Court's calendar.

9. Case Dispositive Motions. All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before August 15, 2008. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules.

10. Applications by Motion. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion filed with the Clerk. Unless otherwise requested by the Court, counsel shall not deliver copies of papers or correspondence to Chambers. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

11. Pretrial Conference. On \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_\_, the Court will hold a Final Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel beginning at \_\_\_\_\_.m. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties shall file with the Court the joint proposed final pretrial order with the information required by the form of Final Pretrial Order which accompanies

this Scheduling Order on or before \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_, 200\_\_.

12. Motions in Limine. *Motions in limine* shall not be separately filed. All *in limine* requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to five *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The *in limine* request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each *in limine* request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an *in limine* request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three (3) page submission, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. No separate briefing shall be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

13. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47 and 51, the parties should file proposed voir dire, instructions to the jury, and special verdict forms and jury interrogatories three (3) full business days before the final pretrial conference. That submission shall be accompanied by a computer diskette (in WordPerfect format) which contains the instructions, proposed voir dire, special verdict forms, and jury interrogatories.

14. Trial. This matter is scheduled for a five (5) day \_\_\_\_\_ trial beginning at 9:30 a.m. on \_\_\_\_\_, 200\_\_.

For the purpose of completing pretrial preparations, counsel should plan on each side being allocated a total of \_\_\_\_ hours to present their case.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

THE NEUBERGER FIRM, P.A.  
/s/ Thomas S. Neuberger  
THOMAS S. NEUBERGER, ESQ.  
Delaware Bar No. 243  
STEPHEN J. NEUBERGER, ESQ.  
Delaware Bar No. 4440  
Two East Seventh Street  
Suite 302  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
(302) 655-0582  
TSN@NeubergerLaw.com  
SJN@NeubergerLaw.com

LAW OFFICE OF JOHN M. LaROSA  
/s/ John M. LaRosa  
JOHN M. LaROSA, ESQ.  
Delaware Bar No. 4275  
Two East 7th Street  
Suite 302  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
(302) 888-1290  
JLR@LaRosaLaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP  
/s/ William W. Bowser  
WILLIAM W. BOWSER, ESQ.  
Delaware Bar No. 2239  
The Brandywine Building, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1000 West Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 571-6601  
wbowser@ycst.com

Attorney for Defendants  
Colonel David McAllister and  
New Castle County

CHARLES E. BUTLER, ESQUIRE  
/s/ Charles E. Butler  
CHARLES E. BUTLER, ESQ.  
Delaware Bar No. 2349  
1224 North King Street  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 655-4100  
ceb@cebutler.com

Attorney for Defendants  
Sherry L. Freebery and Thomas P. Gordon

OBERLY JENNINGS & RHODUNDA, P.A.  
/s/ Kathleen M. Jennings  
KATHLEEN M. JENNINGS, ESQ.  
Delaware Bar No. 913  
800 Delaware Avenue, Suite 901  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 576-2000  
kjennings@ojlaw.com

Attorney for Defendant  
John L. Cunningham

Dated: December 4, 2007

Dated: December 4, 2007

IT IS SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_th day of \_\_\_\_\_,  
200\_\_.

/s/ Mary Pat Thyng  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Tobin, Henry/Pleadings/Joint Proposed Sched Ordr